

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/896,680	06/29/2001	Brad A. Armstrong	29	8703	
7	7590 12/06/2001				
Brad A. Armstrong			EXAMINER		
P.O. Box 1419 Paradise, CA			PARADISO, JOHN ROGER		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3721		
			DATE MAILED: 12/06/2001		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		09/896,680	ARMSTRONG					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		John R. Paradiso	3721					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence address					
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of will expire SIX (6), cause the application to becon	ay a reply be timely filed  f thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio te ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29.	<u>lune 2001</u> .						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.						
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) $\underline{1-47}$ is/are pending in the application	<b>).</b>						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-47</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement						
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) 🔲 .	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	oted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in a	beyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) 🗌 .	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)[	disapproved by the Examiner.					
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	oly to this Office action.						
12) 🗌 .	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	))).					
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional applicati	ion).				
	)  The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	• •						
Attachmen	-	•	••					
1) 🔀 Notic 2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notic	iew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/896,680

Art Unit: 3721

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed 6/29/2001 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each U.S. and foreign patent; each publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered. In the instant case, the copies of the references are present in the parent case, but a PTO-1449 listing the references is still required in this case.

## **Double Patenting**

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 09/896,680

Art Unit: 3721

3. Claims 1-47 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-19 of U.S. Patent No. 6102802. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the pressure-sensitive switches of Patent No. 6102802 in the present invention, which includes rocker arms and discrete buttons.

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. If the above rejections can be overcome, the subject matter of claims 1-47 are deemed to contain allowable subject matter.
- 5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art could not alone or in combination anticipate or make obvious the use of pressure-sensitive switches in connection with discrete buttons on a controller that produce an analog signal proportional to the pressure used to depress said buttons.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/896,680

Art Unit: 3721

#### Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Paradiso. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday, 10:30 a.m. - 7:00 p.m. (ET).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada, can be reached at the number listed below.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the 3700 Technology Center receptionist.

Examiner John Paradiso

(703) 308-2825

December 3, 2001

Fax (Direct to Examiner): Supervisor Rinaldi Rada (703) 746-3253 (703) 308-2187

Receptionist

(703) 308-1148